

1 James R. Batchelder (CSB # 136347)
2 Andrew T. Radsch (CSB # 303665)
3 ROPES & GRAY LLP
4 1900 University Ave., Sixth Floor
5 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284
6 Tel: (650) 617-4000
7 Fax: (650) 617-4090
8 james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
9 andrew.radsch@ropesgray.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant
11 PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.

12 Alan M. Fisch (*pro hac vice*)
13 *alan.fisch@fischllp.com*
14 R. William Sigler (*pro hac vice*)
15 *bill.sigler@fischllp.com*
16 Jeffrey M. Saltman (*pro hac vice*)
17 *jeffrey.saltman@fischllp.com*
18 FISCH SIGLER LLP
19 5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW
20 Suite 400
21 Washington, DC 20015
22 Tel: 202.362.3500
23 Fax: 202.362.3501

24 Ken K. Fung (SBN: 283854)
25 *ken.fung@fischllp.com*
26 FISCH SIGLER LLP
27 400 Concar Drive
28 San Mateo, CA 94402
29 Tel: 650.362.8207
30 Fax: 202.362.3501

31 Counsel for Defendant
32 Juniper Networks, Inc.

33 Brian A. E. Smith (SBN 188147)
34 Joseph J. Fraesso (SBN 289228)
35 BARTKO ZANKEL BUNZEL & MILLER
36 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800
37 San Francisco, California 94111
38 Tel: (415) 956-1900
39 Email: *bsmith@bzbm.com*
40 Email: *jfraesso@bzbm.com*

41 Counsel for Plaintiff, Defendant, and
42 Counterclaimant
43 PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC

44 [Additional counsel listed on signature
45 page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,) Case No. 3:19-cv-02471-WHO
) Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,) Assigned to: Hon. William H. Orrick
) v.)
) **JOINT STATUS REPORT**
) PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC,)
) Defendant and Counterclaimant.)

Pursuant to the Court’s September 29, 2023 Order Requiring Status Report (Dkt. 98 in the *Palo Alto Networks* case and Dkt. 90 in the *Juniper* case),¹ Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Palo Alto Networks, Inc. (“Palo Alto Networks”), Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc. (“Juniper”), and Plaintiff, Defendant, and Counterclaimant Packet Intelligence LLC (“Packet”) (collectively, the “Parties”), provide this Joint Status Report regarding the status of the related *inter partes* review

¹ Case No. 3:19-cv-02471-WHO will be referred to as “the *Palo Alto Networks* case” and case No. 3:19-cv-04741 will be referred to as “the *Juniper* case.”

1 (“IPR”) and *ex parte* reexamination (“EPR”) proceedings in the *Palo Alto Networks* and *Juniper*
 2 cases.²

3 On September 14, 2022, the Parties filed a Joint Status Report (Dkt. 97, in the *Palo Alto*
 4 *Networks* case and Dkt. 87 in the *Juniper* case) (“September 2022 Joint Status Report”), explaining
 5 that all claims asserted in the litigation were subject to appeals from IPRs, or subject to EPR
 6 proceedings. Those IPR appeals and EPR proceedings remain ongoing. The Parties provide
 7 updates on each below.

8 Updates Concerning Pending Appeals of *Inter Partes* Reviews

9 IPRs were previously instituted on four of the five patents in suit (with the fifth patent, the
 10 asserted ’099 patent, being subject to EPR proceedings). The PTAB held unpatentable (i) all
 11 challenged claims of the asserted ’725 and ’751 patents, (ii) all but one of the challenged claims of
 12 the asserted ’646 patent (dependent claim 3), and (iii) all but one of the challenged claims of the
 13 asserted ’789 patent (dependent claim 34). Packet appealed and Palo Alto Networks and Juniper
 14 cross-appealed.

15 Since the September 2022 Joint Status Report, the Parties have completed briefing in the
 16 consolidated appeals of the IPRs at the Federal Circuit and await the scheduling of oral argument.
 17 The Parties expect oral argument to occur in late 2023 or early 2024.

18 Updates Concerning Pending *Ex Parte* Reexaminations

19 Since the September 2022 Joint Status Report, on November 18, 2022, the USPTO issued a
 20 final office action rejecting ’646 claim 3 as being unpatentable for non-statutory obviousness-type
 21 double patenting. On March 17, 2023, Packet filed a Notice of Appeal to the PTAB and on May
 22 17, 2023, filed its Appeal Brief. The PTAB will hear oral argument in the appeal on November 16,
 23 2023.

24
 25
 26
 27 ² There are five patents in both cases: U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,651,099 (“’099 patent”), 6,665,725 (“’725
 patent”), 6,771,646 (“’646 patent”), 6,839,751 (“’751 patent”), and 6,954,789 (“’789 patent”).

1 Ken K. Fung (SBN: 283854) cfirst@hpcllp.com
2 *ken.fung@fischllp.com*
3 FISCH SIGLER LLP Counsel for Plaintiff, Defendant, and
4 400 Concar Drive Counterclaimant
5 San Mateo, CA 94402 PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC
6 Tel: 650.362.8207
7 Fax: 202.362.3501
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5 Counsel for Defendant
Juniper Networks, Inc.

ATTESTATION

I am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file this JOINT STATUS REPORT. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that all signatories to this document have concurred in this filing.

DATED: October 13, 2023

/s/ R. Allan Bullwinkel
R. Allan Bullwinkel